Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	arv	10/656,032	MCCOSH, JOHN C.
Lammer-initiated interview Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		John Teresinski	2858
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) <u>John Teresinski</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Bill Kolegraff</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 June 2006		Time: <u>11 am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		nt's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: Claims 1, 11 and 19 Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	IE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The eparate r	e examiner will provide a writt ecord of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
V. ngugen			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants representative was contacted by examiner via telephone to discuss amendments to the claims to clarify the invention and overcome the prior art cited. Claim 1 was indicated allowable and amendments to independent claims 11 and 19 were discussed to include the limitations of claim 1 in order to overcome the prior art cited.